

REMARKS

The Examiner asserts that Applicants' reply filed on June 11, 2003 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because the remarks do not point out why new claim 10 and dependent claims 6 and 9 are patentable over the cited art.

As asserted in Applicants' previous reply, claims 6, 9 and 10 are dependent on independent claim 3 and, therefore, are patentable at least for the same reasons noted previously regarding this independent claim. For example, regarding claim 6, Nishibe et al. does not disclose or suggest a household account book management apparatus wherein the processing unit includes a check unit which displays the converted account data on said display unit for verifying the account data converted by said data analysis unit into the predetermined form. Regarding claim 9, Nishibe et al. does not disclose or suggest a household account book management apparatus wherein at least one of said plural input units receives account data from the outside of said household account book managing apparatus via a network. Regarding new claim 10, Nishibe et al. does not disclose or suggest a household account book management apparatus wherein said display unit displays money movement between the plural account data sources. None of these limitations in claims 6, 9 and 10 are disclosed or suggested by Nishibe et al. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections of these claims be withdrawn and that these claims be allowed.

U.S. Application No. 09/508,990

To the extent necessary, Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment of fees, to the deposit account of Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP, Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (referencing attorney docket no. 503.38263X00).

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP



Frederick D. Bailey
Registration No. 42,282

FDB/sdb
(703) 312-6600